

**RESPONSE OF DFCCIL TO QUERIES RAISED BY VARIOUS FIRMS DURING PRE-SUBMISSION CONFERENCE FOR PREQUALIFICATION OF BIDDERS FOR NKWD-DGO FOR SYSTEM WORKS HELD ON 22-12-2011**

**Ref: IFP No.:HQ/SYS/EC/D-B/NKWD-DGO DATE:02-12-2011**

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
1.	Section 1: Instruction to Applicants Clause 4.9 Page 9/58	Joint Venture	Please confirm if Form ELI 1.0(a) (Affidavit) is to be submitted separately for each JV partner & specialist Subcontractor or it is to be lead member (Applicant) only?	Clause ITA 15.1 of Section II is amended. Please refer and comply Amendment/Corrigendum No.1 dated 4-1-2012
2.	Section II: Prequalification Data Sheet ITA 25.1 Page 23/58	Joint Venture	Please confirm if Experience of Parent company or Sister company shall be considered for qualification in case they act as specialist sub-contractor.	It will be considered if parent company or sister company is directly participating as special sub-contractor.
3.	Section III: S.No. 3.2 (i) & (ii); Page 29/58	Qualification Criteria	We understand that the project is on 'Design & Build' Model. This means that the design phase involves complex system simulations, load flow & traction studies, Earthing & Bonding studies to determine the system configuration and sizing, & Build Project. As applicable for any Design &Build Project. This is also elaborated in section v Chapter 6.3 of the Pre-Qualification document. Kindly clarify if the credentials of system studies also need to be provided in detailed designing credentials as given in Section III S.No.3.2 (i)&(ii) for 25kV OHE and TSS,SP and SSP as this is the key phase of design process.	Clause 3.2 section III is amended. Please refer and comply Amendment/Corrigendum No.1 dated 4-1-2012
4.	Section IV – Form ELI-1.0,(h);	Forms	Point (h) gives reference to section III does not contain this clause	Please refer and comply Amendment/Corrigendum No.1 dated 4-1-2012

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
5.	Section IV – Form EXP. 3.1 Page 39/58	Forms	Please amend form Exp 3.1 to include provision for the “Nominated Subcontractor Name”	Agreed. Please refer and comply Amendment/Corrigendum No.1 dated 4-1-2012
6.	Section IV – Form EXP. 3.1(const); Page 40/58	Forms	Form EXP 3.1 is not complete with respect to the ‘Component No. Two’ and further.	Same as for component no. 1 for Component No. Two’ and further.
7.	Section IV – Form EXP. 3.2; Page 42/58	Forms	Form EXP 3.2 is not complete with respect to ‘Key Activity No. Two’ and further.	Same as for key activity no. 1 for each key design activity
8.	Section - V – Point No.26. Page 52/58	Project Information & Scope of work	Nominal Voltage: Kindly clarify the voltage is 22.5 kV or 25 kV.	Nominal voltage is 25 kv. Please refer and comply Amendment/Corrigendum No.1 dated 4-1-2012
9.	Section - V – Point No. 27 & 28. Page 52/58	Project Information & Scope of work	The sizing of conductor is provided, however, kindly clarify if the same is to be established through system studies.	This will be detailed in Bid document.

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
10.	Section - V – Clause 8.2; Page 53/58	Project Information & Scope of work	Kindly clarify if alternate technology, systems and components as used/approved for other global projects of similar nature & application would be also accepted apart from RDSO approved components & systems.	Pl. refer and comply clause 8.2, Works requirement, section V
11.	Section - V – Clause 8.3 Page 53/58	Project Information & scope of work	Kindly clarify if alternate global suppliers who are not RDSO approved vendors but have supply references and experience on similar global projects, would also be accepted	Pl. refer and comply clause 8.3(b), Works requirement, section V
12.	General	Power of Attorney	Please confirm that no Power of Attorney (PoAs) from the Applicant, JV partner or nominated Specialized subcontractor's is to be submitted at Prequalification stage?	Clause ITA 15.1 of Section II is amended. Please refer and comply Amendment/Corrigendum No.1 dated 4-1-2012
13.	7.0 Section V Point 36	Scope of Work	Clarification regarding whether the double detection specified will be in line with the railway Board's policy i.e. dual detection to be achieved by two different methods (AFTC – Track Shorting, Axle counter)	Clause 6.3.7 (c) &(d) of section- V is amended. Please refer and comply Amendment/Corrigendum No.1 dated 4-1-2012
14	Clause 4.5 of A. General of section -1	No Applicant can be a subcontractor while submitting an application individually or as a partner of a joint venture in the same Pre qualification process. A Sub-contractor in any	Clarification required whether the clause is applicable to all subcontractors including the 'specialized subcontractors'.  Can a 'specialized sub-contractor' participate in more than one application in the capacity of specialized subcontractor?	Only specialized sub-contractors shall be considered at PQ stage as per Qualification criteria and requirements section III .  Yes. Please refer & comply clause ITA 4.5 of section- I

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
		application may participate in more than one application, but only in that capacity		
15	Clause (4) of 1.0 General of section III	Applicants while identifying the proposed specialist subcontractors shall suggest name of only one subcontractor instead of multiple subcontractors for similar activity	It is proposed that emphasis shall not be laid upon the nomination of only one Specialized subcontractor. At this stage of PQ option should be available to propose more than one subcontractor for a particular key activity mentioned in clause (4)(ii) of 1.0 of section III, with a provision for addition of a new subcontractor if required. However a prior approval shall be taken from the Employer before final selection of a specialized subcontractor by the contractor.	Not agreed.
16	3.1(a)(i) of section III	Similar Construction Experience.	The eligibility and qualification criteria requirement of the clause is to have a completed work for a minimum value of Rs.93 Crores, which can be interpreted differently i.e. as work pertaining to that particular item/items being completed of total value of Rs.93 crores while the contract in progress or overall contract being completed. In a multi disciplinary project, for example railway doubling or construction, there will be instances where one activity has been fully completed, while the project is not yet completed due to ongoing activities. There may also be instances when in particular contract envisages phase by phase commissioning over different segments, where the commissioned system is in operation for traffic. Please confirm that activities completed for a phase or part project of an ongoing contract shall be considered for the purpose of Similar Construction Experience.	Not agreed. A portion of completed work will not be considered as satisfactorily completed work.

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
17	3.1(a)(ii) of section III	Similar Construction Experience	<p>The eligibility and qualification criteria requirement of the clause is to have a completed work for a minimum value of Rs.19 Crores for the sub item 3.1(a)(ii)-(a), which can be interpreted differently i.e. as work pertaining to that particular item being completed of total value of Rs.19 crores while the contract in progress or overall contract being completed.</p> <p>In a multi disciplinary project, for example railway doubling or construction, there will be instances where one activity has been fully completed, while the project is not yet completed due to ongoing activities. There may also be instances when in particular contract envisages phase by phase commissioning over different segments, where the commissioned system is in operation for traffic.</p> <p>Please confirm that activities completed for a phase or part project of an ongoing contract shall be considered for the purpose of Similar Construction Experience.</p> <p>Similar clarification is sought for sub item 3.1(a)(ii)-(b) &amp; 3.1(a)(ii)-(c)</p>	Not agreed. A portion of completed work will not be considered as satisfactorily completed work.
18	3.2 of section III	Design Experience	<p>The eligibility and qualification criteria requirement of the clause is to have a completed designing activity for the sub item 3.2.(i) which can be interpreted differently i.e. as work pertain to that particular designing activity is complete while the contract in progress, or overall contract being completed.</p> <p>In a multi disciplinary project, for example railway doubling or construction, there will be instances where one activity has been fully completed. while the project is not yet</p>	Not agreed. A portion of completed work will not be considered as satisfactorily completed work.

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
			<p>completed due to ongoing activities. There may also be instances when in particular contract envisages phase by phase commissioning over different segments, where the commissioned system is in operation for traffic.</p> <p>Please confirm that activities completed for a phase or part project of an ongoing contract shall be considered for the purpose of Similar Construction Experience.</p> <p>Similar clarification is sought for the sub items 3.2(ii),3.2(iii) &amp; 3.2(iv)</p>	
19	3.2(ii)	Similar Design experience	<p>It is observed that as per clause 3.2(ii) of qualification criteria and requirement, detailed designing experience of one 2x25 KV system is required in the last five years. In this regard we would like to submit that to the best of our knowledge IRCON is the only firm who has executed 2x25 KV railway electrification project in Bina-Katni section (the only project in India) in the year 1995.</p> <p>In view of above, it is requested that the design experience of 2x25 KV system in the 18 years may be considered in place of last 5 years.</p>	Not agreed to. Please refer & comply clause 3.2 of section III
20	Section II, Page 22, clause 17.1	Date & time of submission of PQ applications	<p>Considering that the documentation involved is huge and time associated for identifying international Partners and being Christmas time in European &amp; Western Countries, we request you to kindly extend the date of PQ submission till 24<sup>th</sup> January 2012.</p>	Date of submission/ opening of PQ postponed to 31-01-2012.

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
21	Section II, page 15 of 48, clause 4.1(vii)	<u>Joint and several liability:</u> JV members can be jointly and severally liable to the Employer for execution of the Project in accordance with the General and Special conditions of Contract. The JV members shall also be liable jointly and severally for the loss, damages caused or due to non-execution of the contract or part thereof.	JV shall be jointly and severally liable to the employer and cannot be liable by consequential losses to the employer. In this context request please consider to reframe the clause as below: <u>Joint and several liabilities:</u> JV member can be jointly and severally liable to the employer for execution of the project in accordance with the General & Special conditions of Contract and the amendments thereof. In case of any default attributable to the JV alone, the JV shall be liable for the genuine estimated damages as agreed to by the Parties in the Contract.	Not agreed.
22	General		Eastern corridor project being a project of national interest, would like to request if any concessions or exemptions are available under taxes, duties and levies etc.	No concessions are available at the moment.
23	Section III, page 24 of 48, clause 3.1(a), II.(c)	Similar Construction Experience (c) At least one contract having value of at least Rs.33 (Thirty three) Cr. Of signaling works on Railway/Metro/Sub urban rail transit system.	S&T work of one contract having value at least Rs.33 Crores appears to be on a higher side Request you to consider to replace with the below: Cumulative contract value from 2 contracts to be Rs.33 crores of signaling work on Railway/Metro/Suburban rail transit system, with each contract value not less than Rs.10 crores or at least one contract having value of at least 15 crores of signaling works on Railway/Metro/substation rail transit system	Not agreed. Please refer and comply Qualification criteria and requirements at section III.

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
24.	Section III, page 29 of 58, clause 3.2(ii)	Detailed designing of minimum one TSS, SP & SSP in at least one completed work for 2x25 KV system on Railway/Metro/suburb an rail transit system	The requirement for the item 3.2(i) have been referring to 25 KV/2x25 KV system for OHE requirement, while the 3.2 (ii) have been referring to only 2x25 KV system requirement. We request to consider the requirement of one TSS, SP & SSP in at least one completed work for 25 KV/2x25 KV system in item 3.2(ii) also.	Not agreed. Please refer and comply Qualification criteria and requirements at section III.
25	General	Type of Contract	You have indicated that it will be Design Bid Lump Sum basis, we request you to kindly elaborate the same and also confirm whether BOQ for the tender will be furnished by you or we have to prepare.	In Design Build Lump Sum contract ,the contractor is responsible for design & construction at fixed lump sum price.
26	Clause 3.1(a), Page 27	Similar Construction Experience	Clause No.(a) (b) & (c) specify values of the contract for pre-qualification. Normally in the work awarded by Railways, the capital items like catenary wire/contact wire/Transformers/switchgear HV/LV and cables are supplied by Railways resulting in reduction in value of the contract, though the actual scope of work involves design, erection, testing & commissioning. Based on the same you are requested to kindly delete/reduce the values wise size of the contracts.	Not agreed. Please refer and comply Qualification criteria and requirements at section III.
27	Clause 3.1(b)(ii) , Page 28	Qualification Criteria	In the case of clause 3.1.(b)(ii) of page no.28 also, as the cable is usually supplied by railways for carrying out the work the value of work may please be deleted.	Not agreed., Please refer and comply Qualification criteria and requirements at section III.
28	Clause 3.2(ii), page 29	Qualification Criteria	In the case of clause 3.2(ii) of page 29 of qualification criteria and requirements detailed design experience of TSS the word 25 KV seems to be missing. We request you to consider the design experience of 25 KV system also in addition to 2x25KV system.	Not agreed. Please refer and comply Qualification criteria and requirements at section III.



S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
29	Clause no.3.2(iii), page 30	Qualification Criteria	Clause no.3.2(iii) of page no. 30 of qualification criteria and requirements the requirement of design of signaling system involving electronic interlocking is specified in for TKMS. Railways normally award the contracts based on number of station. We request you to change the quantum requirement to number of stations instead of TKM.	Not agreed. Please refer and comply Qualification criteria and requirements at section III.
30	Part-1 & Part -2	Prequalification Procedure. Project information & Scope of work	The equipment for which Part-I and Part 2 RDSO approved vendors are available; please clarify if equipment can be purchased from Part-2 vendors too.	Will be clarified in the Bid Document
31 (a)		Qualification Criteria	Name/ list the key construction equipment for which details of ownership/testing arrangement duly certified by a Notary, shall be required to demonstrate access to Testing equipment's/ facilities and the design software required for the project: list of equipment mandatory for qualification need to be mentioned.	Key construction equipments & testing facilities already listed at ITA 11.1(d). Applicant may add to list to demonstrate their capability.
(b)	Section-II clause ITA 11.1(d)	Preparation of application	As per section II, clause ITA 11.1(d), proof of ownership of certain equipment has been asked for. In the works carried out on Indian Railways, wiring train and tower wagon are arranged by Railways. Thus these will have to be purchased by us which are a financial commitment which can be entered only on award of contract. At this stage, statement of our intent only may be asked for. Query	Please refer and comply ITA clause 11.1(d) of section II.
32	Section V	Works requirements	Execution of signaling works require many items such as ELI, IPS, Axle counter, AFTC etc., which need to be installed by the OEM itself as per RDSO requirement. It is understood that identification of one OEM for each sub system shall be required only for such specialized items which need to be	RDSO requirement/ specification shall be applicable.

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
			installed and commissioned by the OEM only.	
33	Para 6.3.6	Qualification criteria	'It shall be possible to upgrade the EI to CTC at a future date..' Please confirm that future upgradability of EI to CTC and availability of spare capacity in EI for future expansion shall be sufficient to comply this item. The tie up with the original equipment manufacturer/vendor has to be done for the up gradation and time frame of upgradation need to be frozen. Please advise the time frame for upgradation.	Spare capacity in EI will be detailed in the bid document.
34.			Please elaborate 'condition monitoring' and centralized remote control monitoring and diagnostic through Ethernet'. Please provide their specs and existing installations on IR to study, so that appropriate agency/specialized vendor for the same can be engaged.	Specification will be detailed in the bid document
35		Qualification criteria	Please provide us with a list of consultants who have a conflict of interest in the field of 2x25 KV substation design and signaling & telecom design.	At present NIL
36	Clause 11(d) ITA:	Submission of additional documents demonstrating access to key construction equipments	1) A few of these equipments like OHE Inspection Vehicle, OHE laying equipments have always been provided by Indian Railways for undertaking OHE works in India. It is therefore requested to kindly allow (a) hiring of such equipments by bidders, and (b) an undertaking to that effect be allowed to be submitted at this stage with PQ submission instead of specific documents demonstrating access of such equipments. Specific documents may be called for at a later stage (RFP stage) along with the Technical proposal	Please refer and comply ITA Clause 11.1(d) of section II.

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
37	Clause (3.2 (ii) )	Eligibility Criteria: Design Experience of minimum one TSS, SP & SSP in one completed work in 2 x 25 kV system in last 5 years	2) Since no 2 x 25 kV work has been done on Indian Railways in the last 5 years, it is requested to kindly also allow and qualify a) 25 kV TSS, SP & SSP qualifications and also qualify b) design experience in non-Railway substations  Also requested to kindly confirm whether specialist subcontractors allowed under section 3.1 (b) and 3.2 are allowed to join more than one bidder.	Not agreed. Please refer and comply Qualification criteria and requirements at section III.  Yes, refer clause 4.5 section I
38	ITA 4.1	Joint Venture	Please clarify that consortiums would be allowed in addition to the Joint Venture being a International practice for execution of big Infrastructure project.  This is already accepted in the clarification issued against the IFP No.2011/WC/Ph.I/PQ for ICB Nos. CT P-1 and CT P-2 of DFC Western Corridor Phase-1 project.	Not agreed .
39	ITA 4.1	Joint Venture	We understand that in case of Joint Venture, members are required to enter into Joint Venture agreement only and new independent entity is not required to be created. Please clarify.	Please refer and comply clause ITA 4.1 of section II.
40	ITA 4.1 (ii)	Separate Identity / Name for JV	We would suggest that this requirement, as such should be deleted, as the same clause is not there in the following PQ Notices issued by DFCCIL for its other projects – PQ document for ICB No.HQ/EN/EC/D-B/Bhaupur-Khurja for Civil & Track package of DFC Eastern Corridor project.  IFP No.2011/WC/Ph-1/PQ for ICB No. CT P-1 and CT P-2 of	Not agreed.

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
			DFC Western Corridor project with this line, it will not be possible for Global companies to participate & is generally a restrictive condition and not in line with the International Bidding norms.	
41	ITA 4.1(iv)	Share of Interest in the JV Firm	Please clarify, what is meant by “..... Indian firm with a minimum share of 51%”: and how this 51% minimum share would be calculated & also how it is required to be demonstrated by the applicant at the PQ stage.	51% participation by lead member is based on Rly. Bd.’s guidelines. The applicant may work out their own calculation based on Part-II Works’ requirement in Section-V.
42	ITA 14.1	Certification	We understand that wherever available notarized clients certificate needs to be submitted or otherwise self-certification of Company’s Letter Head would also be acceptable for submission. Please confirm our understanding.	Self declaration is not acceptable. ITA clause 14.1 section II is amended. Please refer and comply Amendment/Corrigendum No.1 dated 4-1-2012
43	Section III. Form FIN-2.1	Qualification Criteria and Requirements Financial Eligibility Criteria	We understand that wherever available notarized clients certificate needs to be submitted or otherwise self-certification on Company’s Letter Head would also be acceptable for submission. Please confirm our understanding.	Clause 1.0 (6) (7) (8) of section III is amended. Please refer and comply Amendment/Corrigendum No.1 dated 4-1-2012
44	Section V, clause 6.3.7 Signalling system	Project information and scope of work, Signalling System Architecture used on various DFC	We understand that normally the identical Signalling System would be deployed on Freight Corridors of DFC in order to have consistence in terms of technology operations & maintenance. We have noted that for ICB Nos. CT P-1 and CT P-2 of DFC Western Corridor project, proposed Signalling System mentioned in the PQ documents is as follows:	TPWS is not envisaged in Eastern DFC. Clause 6.3.7 (c) &(d) of section- V is amended. Please refer and comply Amendment/Corrigendum

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
			<p><b><u>Signaling System</u></b></p> <p><b>Type of Signaling      Automatic signaling using AF track circuit with advanced TPWS</b></p> <p><b>Section length on double line      2 Km between stations 1 Km nearby stations.</b></p> <p>We would assume that DFCC has plans to incorporate the same Signaling System as above for this section of Eastern Corridor also, in order to have consistency in the operations. Please confirm our understand is correct.</p>	<p>No.1 dated 4-1-2012.</p> <p>Please refer and comply clause 6.3.7 section V</p>
45	ITA-2	Source of fund	Please clarify, if the fund is arranged from World Bank or ADB?	Funded by Govt. of India
		Eligible countries	Please clarify, if any foreign country is not allowed to bid as JV?	Please refer and comply clause 4.1, 4.3 and clause 5.1 of ITA of section I.
46	Section-II	Prequalification Data sheet "A General".	ITA-3, ITA-4.2, ITA-16, ITA-22 etc. are missing, Please clarify if it's an omission?	PDS given only for the relevant clauses of ITA of section-I.
		Naming only one Spl. Sub. Contractor	The restriction of naming only one Spl. Sub Contractor may be changed. Alternative Spl. Sub Contractor provision should be allowed.	Not agreed.
			If the credential of Spl. Sub Contractor is to be submitted?	Yes. Please refer and comply Qualification criteria and requirements at section III.

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
47	ITA 4.1	The Lead Partner	If Signalling Contractor can be the Lead Partner?	Lead partner shall be as per clause ITA 4.1 of section II.
			If subsidiary of the foreign company can be the Lead Partner?	Lead partner shall be as per clause ITA 4.1 of section II.
48	ITA 4.1	ITA 4.1 (iii) One of the members of the JV firms satisfying technical eligibility criteria for any of the component of the work and having majority share (51% or more) in the JV shall be nominated as the lead member of the JV. The other members shall have a share of not less than 20% each in case of JV having up to three members and not less than 10% each in case of JV having more than three members.	As a member of the JV if a foreign company complies with technical eligibility and having 51% of the share – then the foreign company also qualifies as LEAD PARTNER?  We suggest to review/ this clause to allow a foreign company to be lead partner.	Not agreed .
		ITA 4.1 (iii) & (iv) is contradictory  In case of JV firm with foreign member(s), the lead member has to be an Indian firm with a minimum share	Is the Indian partner exempted to meet the technical eligibility?  a) Please clarify if The lead partner has to meet item a,b,c of Clause 3.1 a(i) or any one of them in 3.1 a (II)	Pl. refer clause ITA 4.1 of section I and eligibility criteria in section III. (a) The applicant or JV firm or Lead member of the JV firm must meet Clause 3.1 a(i). Please refer and comply

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
		of 51%	<p>b) In 3.1 a (ii) OHE component is 19 Cr. TSS component is 29 Cr and S&amp;T component is 33 Cr.</p> <p>c) As per the cl. I.T.A 4.1 (iv), it refrain S&amp;T or TSS company will participate in JV, with Indian company with 51% share</p> <p>d) We suggest to review &amp; dilute this clause.</p>	<p>Amendment/Corrigendum No.1 dated 4-1-2012</p> <p>(b) -</p> <p>(c) Please refer and comply clause ITA 4.1 (iii) &amp; (iv) of Section II. Clause I.T.A 4.1 (iv) does not refrain S&amp;T or TSS company to participate in JV with Indian company with 51% share.</p> <p>(d) Not agreed .</p>
49	ITA 4.1	The JV partners	Can a Indian subsidiary of a foreign company can joint as JV partner using the credential of the Parent Company?	No. Please refer and comply ITA 25.1 of section II.
50	ITA 4.1	(vi) Joint And Several Liability	This clause may be diluted for 10% share holding JV partner	Not agreed.
		Qualification criteria and requirements		
51	3.1 a (ii)	Similar Construction Experience	The clause for a, b, c should be changed to multiple contracts instead of one contract	Not agreed.
52	3.1 a (ii)	Clause (d), Aggregate value as a resultant of above (a), (b) and (c) must be at least Rs.93 (Ninety three) Cr.	Please clarify, how with the at least contract value specified in a, b, c given for OHE, TSS and S&T contract, the party can reach desired value of INR 93 Cr.?	Please refer & comply qualification criteria of 3.1(a)(ii) of section III.
53	3.1 c (ii)	(c) At least one contract having value	The clause (c), no working S&T contractor of Indian railway can match this criteria, therefore we suggest to dilute this	Not agreed to. Please refer and comply Qualification

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
		of at least Rs. 33 (Thirty three) Cr. Of Signalling works of Railway/ Metro/ Suburban rail transit system.	clause a) Installation 7 commissioning of EL/ SSI/ PI/RR/Interlocking or outdoor colour light signalling work etc. done at least in 4 to 6 stations in a single contract b) The value of the work should be 5 to 6 Cr. For each contract completed.	criteria and requirements at section III.
		Taxes and duties	We would like to request you to mention the detail the taxes and duties applicable to a foreign companies participating as JV partner be informed to avoid any ambiguity at the time of execution.	No concessions are available at the moment.
54	ITA 4.1 (ii)		We request to please waive the condition of providing separate identity/name to the Joint Venture firm.	Not agreed. Please refer and comply Cl. ITA 4.1 of section II.
55	ITA (VI)		We request to please modify this clause to state that each of the Joint Venture Firm member shall be severally responsible for their respective supply, services.	Not agreed.
56	Form EL110.5, Point 2		We request to please modify this clause to state that each of the Joint Venture Firm member shall be severally responsible for their respective supply, services.	Not agreed.
57	ITA(VI)		We request to please modify the Phrase "Loss and Damages caused to DFCCIL" to please read as "Liquidated damages, and with a max liability 10% of contract value"	Not agreed.



S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
58	Form EL 110.5 Point 2		We request to please modify the Phrase "Loss and Damages caused to DFCCIL" to read as "Liquidated damages, and with a max liability of 10% of contract value".	Not agreed.
59			Indirect and Consequential Damages to be excluded.	The relevant clause has not been referred by the firm. Please refer and comply Clause ITA 4.1, Section-II.
60	ITA 4.1	Joint Venture	<p>One of members of JV firms satisfying eligibility criteria for any of the components of the work and have majority share(51% or more) in JV shall be nominated as lead partner of JV. The other member shall have a share not less than 20% each in case of JV having upto 3 members and not less than 10% each in case of JV having more than three members.</p> <p>It means that the lead partner of JV should have majority share of 51% or more and also should satisfied the technical eligibility criteria in any component of work, more over the lead partner should also be an Indian firm.</p>	Yes.
61	ITA 25.1	Joint Venture	<p>Some firms expressed their view that if a foreign firm has 100% subsidiary in India in can become the lead partner with technical eligibility of their foreign parent company, which can also be one of the JV members.</p> <p>In connection with Para ITA 25.1 has been referred is as under:</p> <p>"The financial situation and experience of associate parent company or sister companies shall not be considered unless they are part of JV"</p>	<p>Clause 3.1(a)(ii) of section III is amended.</p> <p>Please refer and comply Amendment/Corrigendum No.1 dated 4-1-2012</p> <p>Please refer and comply ITA 25.1 of Section II.</p>

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
62	Para 4.1	Joint Venture	In view of the above interpretation given by various firms need clarification as to whether a 100% subsidiary of foreign firm with 51% share in JV can become a lead partner even though it do not meet technical eligibility criteria as para 4.1 by inducting the parent company as JV partner.	No. Clause 3.1(a)(ii) of section III is amended. Please refer and comply Amendment/Corrigendum No.1 dated 4-1-2012  Please refer and comply ITA 4.1 and 25.1 of Section II.
63	3.1(a)	Technical eligibility criteria	Para 3.1(a) lays down that the firm should have completed at least one contract having value of at least 33 crore of Signaling works on railways/metro/suburban rail transit system.  In this connection it is mentioned that the high value items like cable, power supply equipments, point machines are supplied by railways and only the work of field execution along with supply of low value items are given to contractors. In view of the above, it is difficult to identify a firm which has completed the Signaling work having works of at least 33 crore in one single contract.  It is therefore, requested that this requirement may be modified and one single contract of signaling works having a value of at least 20 crore is specified instead of 33 crore.	Not agreed .

S.No.	Reference clause	Issues	Query	Response of DFCC
64		Joint venture	<p>During pre bid meeting held on 22-12-2011 in your office some of the firm requested extension of last date of submission of the prequalification bids. In this connection it is mentioned that all the firm wanting to participate in this tender have to form a joint venture in order to meet the technical eligibility criteria mentioned in the document issued by DFCCCL</p> <p>We requested you that the date of submission of prequalification bid should be extended by one month so that sufficient time is available to form Joint Venture with suitable firms.</p>	Date of submission/ opening of PQ postponed to 31-01-2012.