

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.DFCCL/A/E/21/00107

Applicant Name	Aditya pareek			
Text of Appeal	filling 1 st appeal basis incorrect information provided and denied to access information:- 1. Point no 1 seems incorrect information as not mentioned that either the information is in marks or in percentage, Since max marks secured by General category applicant is 90 ie 75pc where weightage of interview is only 15pc then how's the final cut off can be 80.81%. 2. To ensure that there was no biasness in final result and for the benefit of all the candidates DFCC should declare category wise list of candidates finally selected with their interview and written exam marks. >Since all these above information is also useful for all other candidates and future aspirant, one can't denied to share such information by referring Section 8 (1) (J). >All other PSU's also declaring all these information on it's website , DFCC can check recent result of FCI, CIL etc. >In case Ferani Hotel Pvt Ltd Vs SIC , SC decided to disclose information pertains to benefit of all other person can't be denied u/s 8 (1)(J) of RTI act. 3.In point No 3 total marks become 130.67, how it can be possible when total interview marks is 15 marks only. (as information asked in marks only)			
Reply of Appeal	Dear Appellant, Your appeal has been seen and it is found that the information provided by the CPIO is complete and just and based on record at the relevant point of time. You have raised new point in your appeal which cant be considered now. Hope you appreciate the position.			
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	21/11/2021		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	22/11/2021	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	08/12/2022	FAA - Sh. Gaurav Sharma	
Print				