Text of Appeal I am totally disappointed with the response of CPIO. CPIO. has tail more than 30 days to response but atthough the has done nothing that time period just waisted my time and given me a illogical rept that is violating the RTI Rule. Following are the objections 1. With respect to point 1 response of CPIO. (D) he has directly denied to give me the desired information but the has not awared that denied of Combined Merit list under Section 8(1/0) is not appropriate as me list of recruitments held for public posts should ordinarily be available in public domain in the interest of transparency and probity. This is not my statement this is a judgment of a matter like same information but the tas as a lam a candidate of this recruitment sulthority to see Combined Merit List and told them the above said statement . also as I am a candidate of this recruitment so I have right to see Combined Merit List and told them the above said statement . also as I am a candidate of this recruitment so I have right to see Combined Merit List and told them the above said statement . This is violating the RTI Rule. As he has taken more than 1 days to response then how can he said that not available in the desired information is not available in the desired op ovide me the same data in this 30 days have given for giving information. This 30 days are given for giving information, this 30 days are given for giving information with so be doing in this sericity 4 days to response then how can he said that not available in the defice then which basis DFCCIL has made the Merit list. 1st waiting list and 2 waiting list? Without having the information is not available in the defice then which basis DFCCIL has made the Merit list. 1st waiting list and 2 waiting list? Without having the information have to transfer this matter the CIC for further necessary action. Thanking You. Yours faithfull Krishna Majumder Ferply of Appeal Dear appell	ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.DFCCL/A/E/22/00098					
Text of Appeal I am totally disappointed with the response of CPIO. CPIO. has tail more than 30 days to response but although he has done nothing that time period just waisted my time and given me a illogical rept that is violating the RTI Rule. Following are the objections 1. With respect to point 1 response of CPIO. (D) he has directly denied to give me the desired information but he has not awared that denied of Combined Mert list under Section 8(1/0) is not appropriate as me list of recruitments held for public posts should ordinarily be available in public domain in the interest of transparency and probity. This is not my statement this is a judgment of a matter like same information but the bas as a lam a candidate of this recruitment sulthority to see Combined Mert List and told them the above said statement. Also as I am a candidate of this recruitment so I have right to see Combined Mert List and told them the above said statement. Also as I am a candidate of this recruitment so I have right to see Combined Mert List and told them the above said statement. Also as I am a candidate of this recruitment so I have right to see Combined Mert List and told them the above said statement. This is violating the RTI Rule. As he has taken more than days to response then how can he said that not available in the defice them which basis DFCCIL has made the Merti tist. 1st waiting list and 2 waiting list? Without having the information is not available in the office them which basis DFCCIL has made the Merti tist. 1st waiting list and 2 waiting list? Without having the information is not possible to prepare the same as the earliest. 3. With respect to point 0.6 & 7 not satisfied with the response of CPIO. I, therefore request you to kir look into the matter seriously and update the same as somisble as already CPIO has waisted my time. He has taken time but no information was provided. Please update list asurd 2 waiting list dated 30.09.2022 for the post of 1. Executive81	Applicant Name		KRISHNA MAJUMDER			
Reply of Appealthe reply given to you by the CPIO was relevant to the date of rep However, the position as on date is as under; For point No. 1,3 and to 7- The information provided earlier by the CPIO holds good. Fo point No. 2- Category wise vacancies after carrying forward of 04 PWBD for the post of Jr. Exec. S&T post is as follows: UR-58, SC 23, ST-12, OBC-NCL-37, EWS-13. For point No. 8- Cut off for waiting list dated 30.09.2022 for the post of Jr. Executive/S&T against Advt. No. 04/2021 is as follows: UR-51.250, OBC-51, SC- 44.500, ST-36.250, EXS-37.750. Hope you appreciate the positionSN.Action TakenDate of ActionAction Taken ByRemarks1FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED16/11/2022Nodal OfficerOnline2APPEAL FORWARDED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY16/11/2023FAA - Sh.3APPEAL DISPOSED19/01/2023FAA - Sh.	Text of Appeal		Combined Merit list under Section 8(1)(j) is not appropriate as merit list of recruitments held for public posts should ordinarily be available in public domain in the interest of transparency and probity. This is not my statement this is a judgment of a matter like same infront of CIC where CIC has directed to the recruitment authority to published the Combined Merit List and told them the above said statement. And also as I am a candidate of this recruitment so I have right to see the Combined merit list. So it is requested to provide me the same document at the earliest. 2. With respect to point 2,3,4,5,8 and 9 CPIO has claimed that the information is not available in the desired format. This is violating the RTI Rule. As he has taken more than 30 days to response then how can he said that not available, as per RTI rule 30 days have given for giving information, this 30 days are given for preparing the information so why not CPIO prepared the same data in this 30 days time period. What is he doing in this period? And another point is there if the same is not available in the office then by which basis DFCCIL has made the Merit list, 1st waiting list and 2nd waiting list? Without having the information it is not possible to prepare the same. Kindly look into the matter seriously and provide me the same at the earliest. 3. With respect to point no 6 & 7 not satisfied with the response of CPIO. I, therefore request you to kindly look into the matter seriously and update the same as soon as possible as already CPIO has waisted my time, he has taken time but no information was provided. Please update the Apeal urgently as this information is required for legal court matter. And if again denied to provide me the information I have to transfer this matter to the CIC for further necessary action. Thanking You. Yours faithfully, Krishna Majumder			
SN.Action TakenActionTaken ByRemarks1FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED16/11/20222APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY16/11/2022Nodal OfficerOnline3APPEAL DISPOSED19/01/2023FAA - Sh.	Reply of Appeal		the reply given to you by the CPIO was relevant to the date of reply. However, the position as on date is as under; For point No. 1,3 and 4 to 7- The information provided earlier by the CPIO holds good. For point No. 2- Category wise vacancies after carrying forward of 04 PWBD for the post of Jr. Exec. S&T post is as follows: UR-58, SC- 23, ST-12, OBC-NCL-37, EWS-13. For point No. 8- Cut off for			
RECEIVEDImage: Constraint of the second	SN. Actio	on Taken			Remarks	
TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITYImage: Content of the second	-		16/11/2022			
	TO CC FIRST	NCERNED APPELLATE	16/11/2022	Nodal Officer	Online	
OF Gaurav Sharma	3 APPEAI		19/01/2023	Gaurav		
Print						