

Note # 20

As discussed, RTI Appeal dated: 06.05.2025 (CP/54) against RTI No.231 dated 01.04.2025 (CP/51), is put up as below:-

Reply of Original RTI-231 of Sh. Akshay Dongare was given and being reproduced as under:

Item No.	Information sought	Reply
1.	1) Please tell us how many employees are there till date who have not been promoted from N1 to N2 as per the promotion policy of DFCCIL 640/2023. Tell us the names of those employees and tell us the reason for not promoting those employees from N1 to N2.	The Information is related to Third Party and hence may not be provided.
4.	4) Please tell us how many MTS employees are there in DFCCIL who have completed 5 years of service in DFCCIL but they have not been promoted from N1 to N2 and also tell us the reason for not promoting MTS employees from N1 to N2 despite having 5 years of service.	This is a query and not information.

Now, the applicant has preferred an appeal against the reply sent to him. In his appeal, he has sought the following information and the proposed reply is given as under:

S.N.	Ground for Appeal	Proposed reply

1	<p>1) I had asked for the number, names, and reasons of employees who have not been promoted from N1 to N2 as per DFCCIL Promotion Policy No. 640/2020 (erroneously mentioned as 640/2023). The reply cited Third Party Information, which is incorrect because this data relates to administrative decisions and service related facts, which are not exempted under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act</p>	<p>There are total 362 Employees who are working in N1 Grade.</p> <p>The within cluster promotion of Employees from N1 to N2 due on 01.01.2025, is under process.</p>
	<p>I requested the list of MTS employees who will complete 4 years of residency on 01.01.2025 for promotion from N1 to N5 under Policy No. 36/2023. No response was provided to this query.</p>	<p>There are total 150 Employees who have completed 4 years of residency on 01.01.2025 in N1 Grade.</p>
	<p>I also asked how many MTS employees have completed 5 years of service but were not promoted from N1 to N2, along with reasons. This was rejected by stating it is a query and not RTI, which is incorrect. I had clearly sought factual information available on record.</p>	<p>There are only one such employee who has completed 5 years of active service but has not been promoted as disciplinary proceedings are pending against him.</p>

Sub.: Application from S/Sh. Akshay Dongare seeking information under RTI Act-Registration No. DFCCIL/A/E/25/00023 DTD 06.05.2025.

Ref.: (i) RTI Appeal No. DFCCIL/A/E/25/00023 DTD 06.05.2025

(ii) RTI No. DFCCIL/R/E/25/00191 DTD. 01.04.2025.

(iii) RTI-231 Reply DTD.29.04.2025 . (Copy Attached)

In reference to the subject cited above, S/Sh. Akshay Dongare vide ref. (ii) above sought information under RTI Act, 2005, which was provided from this office vide ref.(iii) above. Further, the applicant has now filed an appeal under RTI Act, 2005 Registration No. DFCCIL/A/E/25/00023 DTD 06.05.2025, the following additional information is provided as under:

Q.No.	Proposed Information
02 (Pertaining to Conf. Cell)	Information sought is about a future event, which is not included in the definition of information, hence exemption is sought under Section 2 (f) of the RTI Act. Further, all official information regarding the Departmental Promotion Examination schedule, admit card, and other updates will be published on the official DFCCIL website. Employees are advised to regularly check the website for timely updates.

[Signature]
Exe. /HR
13/06/25

DGM/HR *[Signature]* 13/6/25

GM/HR *[Signature]* 13/6/25

AGM (Admn.) & CPIO

