

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.DFCCL/A/E/25/00026				
Applicant Name		MANISH VIJAYBHAI PATEL		
Text of Appeal		<p>I, Manish V Patel, resident of Mograwadi, Valsad, Gujarat, file this First Appeal under Section 19 of RTI Act 2005 against the incomplete response to my RTI application (DFCCL/R/T/25/00017) dated March 2025, provided by DFCCIL & Western Railway (Ref: RTI288 & WRLMD/R/T/25/00058). The response fails to address safety concerns about the DFCCIL freight track 12.55 meters from my residence. Grounds for appeal:NonCompliance with 30Meter Rule (Query 1 & 9):I cited Railway Board circular 2015/LMLI/19/2, requiring 30 meters between tracks & residences. The response cites W419.L.NOC(GEN)III, claiming 3meter clearance suffices, ignoring the 30meter norm. Western Railway (Page 8) confirms the 30meter rule for main tracks, but no clarity on DFCCIL tracks is provided. This raises concerns of illegal construction. Provide copies of both circulars & explain compliance with the 30meter rule.Failure to Provide Documents (Query 2, 3, 6 & 7):I requested copies of project plan, alignment approval, safety/vibration reports & consultation records. The response directs me to DFCCILs Valsad office for the plan, claims an inspection report is enclosed (not provided), & states consultation records are unavailable. Section 7(1) mandates direct provision of copies. Furnish these documents or cite a Section 8 exemption.Vague Responses (Query 4, 5, 7 & 10):Queries on structural/environmental assessments, officials names, consultations & Western Railways oversight were answered vaguely (e.g., guidelines followed or information unavailable). Section 6(3) requires transferring such queries. Provide specific details or transfer to the relevant office.Dismissal of Safety Concerns (Query 3, 6 & 8):My claims of structural damage from train vibrations were dismissed based on a DFCCIL inspection (07.04.2025), without sharing the report or addressing my evidence. Grievance transfers (MORLY/E/2025/0007493) remain unresolved. Conduct an independent thirdparty inspection & share findings.Distance Discrepancy (Query 1):I stated the track is 3 meters from my house; the response claims 12.55 meters. This discrepancy needs verification. Conduct a joint inspection with my presence.Prayer:a) Provide complete information, including all requested documents.b) Clarify 30meter rule compliance.c) Order independent inspection for damage/vibrations.d) Transfer unresolved queries per Section 6(3).e) Consider penalties under Section 20, if applicable.</p>		
Reply of Appeal		Dear appellant, Your appeal has been considered and it is found that you have been provided with available records/documents in person on 12.06.2025 at unit office, Mumbai. As such the appeal is disposed of.		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	11/05/2025		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	13/05/2025	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	17/07/2025	FAA - Sh. D. L. Yadav	
<input type="button" value="Print"/>				