



Dedicated Freight Corridor Corporation of India Ltd.

(A Government of India Enterprises)

5th Floor, Pragati Maidan, Metro Station Building Complex, New Delhi- 110001

Corporate Identity Number U60232DL2006GOI155068 Web:

www.dfccil.gov.in

No. 2019/HQ/Admin/RTI-354

New Delhi: 16.05.2019

Sh. Gaurav Kumar
Bihar

Subject: Providing information w.r.t. Original Application received under the RTI Act.2005.

Reference: RTI Application dated 10.05.19 received through DOPT.

The information received is provided herewith as under;

S.No	Point No.	Information sought for	Information provided
1.	1	Refer the original application dt. 10.05.19	Salary slip has been provided to employee on SAP Portal.
2.	2	-do-	Information sought by the applicant is not covered under section 2(f) of RTI Act. 2005. The information sought by the applicant is not covered under section 2(f) of RTI Act. 2005.
3.	3	-do-	Not covered under RTI Act section 2(f). Copy of CIC order vide file No.CIC/AT/A/2006/00045 dated 21.04.16.

1st Appellate Authority whose name and address is as under;

Shri Satish Kothari, GGM/Administration DFCCIL,
5th Floor, Pragati Maidan Metro Station Building, New Delhi-110001.


(S.K.PANDA)

Dy. G.M/Admn.(PIO)

E-mail: skpanda@dfcc.co.in

9717636811

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

File No. CIC/AT/A/2006/00045

Dated: 21st April, 2006

Appellant: Dr. D.V. Rao, Superintendent (Legal), Deptt. of Legal Affairs, Ministry of Law & Justice, Govt. of India, 4th Floor, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110001.

Respondents:

Shri Yashwant Singh, APIO & Deputy Secretary (A), Deptt of Legal Affairs, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110001;

Shri K.D. Singh, Appellate Authority (RTI Act 2005) & Additional Secretary (Admn.), Department of Legal Affairs, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110001.

Dr. D.V. Rao, Superintendent (Legal), Department of Legal Affairs, Ministry of Law & Justice, Govt. of India has preferred this appeal against the order of the first Appellate Authority, Shri K.D. Singh, Additional Secretary, Department of Legal Affairs rejecting, through his order dated 19.12.2005, the appellant's plea for certain information. The first Appellate Authority had upheld the orders dated 18.12.2005 of PIO, Shri R. Raghupathy dated 18.12.2005 which the appellant had challenged as inadequate and incomplete.

2. The appellant was called and was present in person while the public authority was represented by Shri R. Raghupathy, JS & CPIO and Shri A.K. Srivastava, SO (RTI) during the hearing on 7th April, 06.

3. The appellant had requested for following information from PIO:-

- (i) Why the Department of Legal Affairs did not amend/review the ILS Rules so far;
- (ii) What is the reason(s) for non-amendments of the ILS Rules even after more than 7 years of the issuance of the DOPT O.M. dated 25.5.1998;
- (iii) Why the delay was happened, where it is happened, under whom the delay was happened and what is the vested interest of the officers in delaying the amendment of the ILS Recruitment Rules and the day-to-day reasons for delaying the amendment of the ILS Recruitment Rules since the issuance of the DOPT O.M. dated 25.5.1998;
- (iv) If the ILS Recruitment Rules are amended, whether it would have the retrospective effect or not;
- (v) When the Department is going to amend the ILS Recruitment Rules;
- (vi) Whether the Department is going to take any action against the erring officers for not amending the ILS Recruitment Rules for a long time in view of the said O.M."