

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.DFCCIL/A/E/25/00038				
Applicant Name		MD ABDUL WAHID		
Text of Appeal		<p>RTI Reply / Clarification Request Letter. To: Sh. D. L. Yadav GM/Administration DFCCIL / Appellate Authority, DFCCIL. DFCCIL Corporate Office Complex, Sector145, Noida, Uttar Pradesh 201306. Subject: Clarification on RTI Reply Regarding Eligibility of 25% Self Arrangement Claim under CTG Policy. Respected Sir, I had earlier filed an RTI application (Reg. No. DFCCIL/R/E/25/00303, dated 04.06.2025) in which I sought clarification regarding the applicability of self arrangement stay claim (25% of entitlement) under the CTG claim during transfer, in reference to DFCCIL CTG Policy. However, the reply received does not sufficiently or justifiably address the concern raised. I would like to submit the following points for your kind reconsideration and detailed clarification: As per DFCCIL CTG Policy, Circular No. 49/2018, dated 28.09.2018, Sr. No. 4 (iii), reimbursement of hotel charges on transfer is provided for employees who stay in hotels during transfer. However, there is no clarity in the policy regarding employees who do not stay in hotels, and instead make self arrangement for accommodation during the transition period. In other official duties (e.g., temporary outstation duty/official purpose), such employees are entitled to claim 25% of hotel entitlement as self arrangement allowance, as per DFCCIL rules. Therefore, the key question remains: If hotel charges are reimbursable under CTG during transfer, then why is the provision for self arrangement (i.e., 25% of entitlement) not applicable in cases where the employee does not stay in a hotel and arranges their own accommodation during transfer? I request the department to clearly specify: Whether DFCCIL recognizes self arrangement stay (25% of entitlement) under CTG when hotel stay is not availed. If not, the reason for despite parallel provisions in other official travel policies. Whether any rule exists that restricts or allows this. I have humble request to provide a clear, reasoned, and rulebased reply please.</p>		
Reply of Appeal		Dear appellant, Your appeal has been considered and it is found that the information provided by the CPIO is in order. Hope you appreciate the position.		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	21/06/2025		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	25/06/2025	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	18/07/2025	FAA - Sh. D. L. Yadav	
<div>Print</div>				