

Dedicated Freight Corridor Corporation of India Limited
(A Government of India Enterprise)
Response to Queries from Prospective Applicants

Project Management Consultancy Services for

Construction of Double Line Electrified Railway Track with Signalling & Telecommunication system and related infrastructure for Rewai-Dadri and JNPT-Vadodara section (Approx 555 Route Kms) of Phase-2 of the Western Dedicated Freight Corridor and for Procurement of Electric Locomotives.

S.No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
1	27	2	11.1 (i)	Estimated duration of consultancy services for Part-B/RS P-7 is 96 months while Pro-A man-months is only 26. Please clarify if this is correct.		The man-months given in RFP are the minimum man-months. It is up to the Consultants to propose more if they want.
2	29	2	14.2 (iii)	It is understood that 11 CVs of Pro-A and 13 CVs of Pro-B experts shall be evaluated. Accordingly, the Consultant is required to submit a total of 24 CVs only. Please confirm.		Only 24 nos. of CVs shall be evaluated. However, Consultants have to submit CVs of other Professionals (Pro-A and Pro-B) also.
3	30 99	2 5	14.2 (iii) 7.3.1	In the table of "Professionals B (Local Personnel)", the position names for B15, B16, B29, B37 and B44 (or		The same has been corrected. Please refer to Item No. 4 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.

S. No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/ Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
				no.9 to no.13) do not correspond to the position names for the same in the table on page 99.		
4	71	5	2.2	The phrase “without limitation” may not be appropriate as it might mean beyond total scope of works of PMC RFP.	It is suggested that the phrase “without limitation” be revised to read “without limitation within the scope of work”.	Agreed. Please refer to Item No. 9 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document
5	71	5	2.2	The phrase “shall ensure” may not be appropriate as it might mean beyond total scope of works of PMC RFP.	It is suggested that the phrase “shall ensure” be revised to read “shall ensure within its role of Engineer”.	Agreed. Please refer to Item No. 10 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document
6	73	5	3.9	The phrase “Endorsement” may not be appropriate as it might mean beyond total scope of works of PMC RFP.	It is suggested that the word “Endorsement” be revised to read “Review”.	Agreed. Please refer to Item No. 11 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document
7	74	5	3.10	The phrase “Endorsement” may not be appropriate as it might mean beyond total scope of works of PMC RFP.	It is suggested that the word “Endorsement” be revised to read “Review”.	Agreed. Please refer to Item No. 12 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document
8	74	5	3.11		The phrase “CT P-1, CT P-2 and	The same has been corrected.

S. No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/ Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
					CT P-3” should be read as “CT P-11, CT P-12, CT P-13 and CT P-14”.	Please refer to Item No. 13 of addendum-1 to the RFP document
9	74	5	3.11	Based on this clause, we understand that following offices are required to be established by the consultant. - one office for the “Core Management Team” (CMT) in Delhi, - four offices for the “Zonal Management Team” (ZMT) for Part-A packages CT P-11/12/13/14. - one office for the ZMT for Part-B (RS P-7), Please confirm if the above is correct.		Consultants are required to establish one office for CMT at Delhi and one office (Zonal Common Team) for coordination of ZMTs at project site apart from project offices (Field Teams) corresponding to different contract packages.
10	83 107	5 5	4.2.1 III 12.1	The duration of work for the PMC for Part-B/RS P-7 is unclear. Please advise the exact required duration of following scope.		Estimated duration of following scope from the date of Notice to Proceed (NTP) for Part B are : - loco manufacture- 94 months - loco maintenance- 12 months - ELMD construction- 54

S. No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/ Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - loco manufacture - loco maintenance - ELMD construction - ELMD maintenance 		<p>months</p> <p>- ELMD maintenance – 54 months</p> <p>All the above consultancy services would have to be discharged within the expected consultancy duration of 96 months from the date of Notice to Proceed (NTP).</p>
11	89	5	4.3 G		The word “PMC” should be read as “DFCCIL”.	Agreed. Please refer to Item No. 14 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.
12	91	5	4.3 N	PMC availability would be required even after duration of PMC contract and no such budgetary provision is in the manning schedule.	<p>It is suggested that the phrase “PMC shall be available” be revised to read “PMC shall be available within the effective duration of PMC contract as part of its services”.</p> <p>Further, the following sentence is to be added at the end of the paragraph, “In case the arbitration is set abroad, the reimbursement of associated expenses are not covered under the scope of this work and therefore should be decided when the situation arises.”</p>	Agreed. Please refer to Item No. 15 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.

S. No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/ Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
13	92	5	4.3 Z	It is beyond the control of PMC to be responsible for timely completion by DB Contractors.	It is suggested that the word “PMC” in first and the last sentence of the paragraph be revised to read “PMC within its role of Engineer”.	Agreed. Please refer to Item No. 16 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.
14	100	5	7.3.2	The position of ELMD expert is not stated in the table. Please advise whether or not this position is required.		ELMD expert will be required. Clause 14.2 (iii) of Section 2 and Clause 7.3.2 of Section 5 has been amended accordingly.
15	181	6	3.5 b.	Please review the professional indemnity insurance coverage.	It is suggested that the JICA Standard RFP (Oct/2012, ver. 1.0) be adhered to and the maximum coverage amount be JPY 300 million or some other reasonable amount.	Agreed. . Please refer to Item No. 18 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.
16	181	6	3.5 b.	Please confirm that global insurance policies are acceptable.		Not agreed.
17	184, 185	6	6.2 (a)	Please advise what indices would be applied.	It is suggested that “Consumer Price Index of Japan”, published by Statistics Bureau, Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications would be used for all JPY payments while AICPIIW of India would be used for all INR payments.	Not agreed. Indices are to be proposed by consultants in their proposal.
18	186,	6	8.2	Please review the	It is suggested that the JICA	Agreed. Please refer to Item No.

S. No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/ Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
	187			arbitrations procedure.	Standard RFP (Oct/2012, ver. 1.0) be adhered to and any dispute or disagreement shall be settled under the Rules of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce (“ICC Rules of Arbitration”).	21 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.
19	199	7	(3) (b)	Please confirm if all non-Japanese JV partners should satisfy all the 3 conditions mentioned. Also, is any evidence of this required?		Yes. Evidence of this is not required to be submitted with the Proposal. However, the same should be available with you to be provided on request from DFCCIL (Please refer ITB 5.4). Also, please note that declaration (d) of FormTech.-1 (read in conjunction with ITC-5) requires you to certify meeting eligibility requirements as given in section 7 of RFP document.
20	72	5	2.4	"The PMC may from time to time, assign duties and further delegate authority to assistants and may also revoke such assignments and		Yes

S. No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/ Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
				delegations." What is the meaning of these "assistants"? Are they part of the Staffing of PMC?		
21	82 103	5 5	4.1.2 (h) 8.3 (ii)	These 2 clauses contradict each other regarding whether the PMC can make a determination to allow a contractor on extension of time.	It is suggested to modify the clause of 4.1.2 (h) that "the PMC shall make a determination of these reasons and if necessary, allow make on endorsement for an extension of time to be set forth in the project completion schedule and for compensation to which the contractor may be entitled as per contract provisions with the approval of DFCCIL."	The 2 clauses are not contradictory and are to be read together. Before making a determination in terms of 4.4.1.2 (h), PMC shall take approval of DFCCIL in terms of clause 8.3 (ii)
22	83	5	4.2.1 VI.		Please clarify the size of the ELMD (functions/capacity/etc.)	Please see TOR Clause 1.5.6 (Page 70) in RFP Document.
23	92	5	4.3 Y.		This clause is relevant only if DFCCIL supplies materials/equipment to the Contractors which will be ultimately used in the project. However, the contracts are	Not Agreed. The role of PMC is to ensure that records required to be maintained by contractor are being maintained and are up-to-date.

S. No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/ Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
					<p>Design & Build with QCBS bidding and DFCCIL will supply no materials/equipment to the Contractors, hence this clause may be deleted.</p> <p>If PMC has to fulfil this requirement, PMC has to keep a stock-verifier on double shift at each depot and a huge number of MM would be required.</p>	
24	103	5	8.3		<p>There are certain drawings that need to be approved by DFCCIL so as to get EIG's sanction for newly created electrical installations.</p> <p>Please add the following clause as 8.3 (xiii).</p> <p>Approving design and drawings for works requiring sanction of Electrical Inspector to the Railways (EIG):</p> <p>a) Design and layout of high voltage equipment including traction sub-stations, transmission lines, 25kV feeders,</p>	<p>The drawings required for EIG application might have already been approved at the time of execution of the work. Same are to be used for EIG.</p>

S. No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/ Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
					switching stations and booster stations. b) OHE layout plan and sectioning drawings c) Traction substation and switching station LOP, Cross-section drawings to check electrical clearances, earthing lay-out drawings.	
25	103	5	8.3		This is the first time in the world railway electrification will have a contact wire at 7.54 meters. The newly developed design could have repercussions on the safety of goods trains. Therefore, it is suggested that DFCCIL approve prototype drawings/ calculations to ensure smooth pantograph-contact wire interaction. DFCCIL should approve typical design calculations submitted jointly by the Rolling Stock, OHE and Track Designer/ Contractors to validate the correct size of the pantograph, correct design of OHE and correct design of track for smooth current collection by	Already covered in item no. 8.3 (x)

S. No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/ Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
					locomotives. Modification required: Add Clause 8.3 (xiv) in the tender document: Approval of typical design calculations and Panto-OHE interaction drawings by DFCCIL is required.	
26	105	5	10.2		In order to maintain neutrality of Third Party Inspection, DFCCIL should hire the inspection/testing agency directly and payments shall also be made by them directly.	No change is required in this clause.
27	106	5	11.1.5		Following equipment may be added Sl.No.27: Laptop/computer along with associated laserjet printer along with licensed copy of software (AUTOCAD, MS Office) Sl.No.28: Almiraha/Cupboard The area in SQ. M. and no of site offices may be finalised so as to	The list of items given in TOR clause 11.1.5 is only indicative and so no change is required. Certain furniture and other office equipment along with site office shall be provided by the D&B Contractor as provided in D&B Bid Documents Vol II (Employer's requirements) in Appendix 9 (Temporary Works). Copy of this document may be

S. No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/ Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
					evaluate cost of furniture and office equipment.	collected from DFCCIL Office by an authorized representative of the Consultant. Any additional equipment considered required by Consultants may be included in their Proposal.
28	106	5	11.2.1	<p>Please clarify following points.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whether IR will provide land at Chittarajan, Kolkatta (Dankuni) and Rewari, and whether PMC needs to construct offices. 2. Provision of Electricity and Water supply to these offices and payment for their usage. 3. Provision of telecommunication facility and payment for telephone charges. 4. Provision of Furniture and Office equipment. 5. Provision of watch and ward to these 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Unfurnished office space of size about 1000 sq. ft. will be provided at each site within the existing premises as per the requirement of the consultant. 2. Available Electricity and Water supply at the site will be made available for the PMC Office. 3. No telephone facilities, furniture, office equipment etc. would be provided by MoR for the PMC office. 4. Railway security will be available within the existing premises. <p>Clause 11.2 of Section 5 has been amended accordingly.</p>

S. No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/ Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
				offices.		
29	143	5	1. Item No.4, No.7		<p>This is the first time in the world that railway electrification will have a contact wire at a height of 7.54 meters. Design of OHE depends upon design parameters of track and locomotive.</p> <p>Modification required: Therefore, it is proposed that detailed calculations for fixing the size of locomotive pantographs shall be done jointly by the Track, Rolling Stock and OHE designer/Contractors. This clause may be inserted in all interface documents of Track, Rolling Stock and Traction "Design and Build contracts".</p>	Query is not relevant to PMC RFP Document.
30	182	6	3.13		<p>Performance Guarantee (2.5% of the contract value) is to be maintained for the consultancy period + 3 months and the consultancy period is 4+2 years (Part-A) except for TPWS and Cab signaling (Part-B/96 months). It is suggested to arrange two PGs for Part-A and Part-B with</p>	Not agreed. Please refer to TOR clause 12.3 (page 107) about release of Performance Guarantee.

S. No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/ Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
					its validity of the consultancy period + 3 months respectively.	
31	182	6	4.2.3		It is noticed that none of the tenders for Phase II work is finalized. Moreover, duration of the contract is quite long (72/96 months). In view of this, it is not possible to hold qualified persons for such a long period. Hence, it is suggested that for cases where mobilization is to take place 6 or months later after the commencement of the project, replacement for such positions shall be permitted with persons fulfilling the qualification criteria in the RFP.	Replacement of personnel will be permitted in accordance with SCC 4.2. However, CVs of those Experts required to be mobilized later than one year after start of services need not be submitted with Proposal.

S. No.	Item	Clarification sought	DFCCIL Reply
32.	LOI 9, page 4	Please clarify how and which timing the Client will release the bid security to the Consultant and integrate it to the Form of Contract.	Bid security will be released to the consultant after the contract comes into force and effect as per GCC 2.1
33.	ITC 10.1, page 15	For technical forms which need to be submitted in two parts, please confirm whether the specified page limits are for the two parts combined, or for each part.	For the two parts combined.
34.	ITC 11.2, page 18	No specific information on taxes in India is provided in the Data Sheet. Please specify.	Please see ITC Data Sheet 11.2 (page-28) which clearly specifies the position on taxation.
35.	Data Sheet 11.1 (i), page 28	Please clarify whether we can propose additional positions, as long as the minimum MMs are met.	Yes
36.	Data Sheet 11.2, page 28	Please confirm whether this Sub-Clause refers to the service tax which PMC pays against the invoices prepared by other service providers. Please also clarify how the Client will pay the service tax to PMC.	ITC Data Sheet 11.2 refers to service tax payable by the consultants for consulting service to be provided under this contract and does not cover other service providers. The service tax will be paid by the consultant and will be subsequently reimbursed by DFCCIL as provided in ITC Data Sheet 11.2.
37.	Data Sheet 12.5, page 28	We have received the letter notifying the last date of submission of Proposal has been kept as March 28, 2014. In order to identify the most suitable partner firms, and offer the strongest possible team for the services, would it be possible to request an extension of the deadline of submission by 1-2 months?	The last date of submission of proposal has been extended to 16.07.2014. Please refer to Item No. 2 and 3 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.

S. No.	Item	Clarification sought	DFCCIL Reply
38.	Data Sheet 14.2 (iii), page 29-30	It is stated that “every individual Personnel/Professional should score at least 75% of the points assigned to his position.” Please clarify the impact of any proposed expert who does not score at least 75% (request for replacement, etc).	Any proposed expert who scores less than 75% of points shall have to be replaced by the consultant. However, the proposal of the consultant will be evaluated with the score of the expert originally proposed.
39.	Data Sheet 17.2, page 31	Please provide an updated schedule of the project schedule: expected date of contract negotiations, expected date of project commencement, date of opening of the financial proposals, etc.	Please refer to Item No. 5, 6 and 7 of Addendum 1 to the RFP document.
40.	Form FIN-1, page 51	The footnote seems to contradict to ITC 11.2 (taxes). Please clarify.	Please see ITC Data Sheet 11.2 and SC 1.10 for taxes.
41.	Form FIN-2, page 52	The footnote seems to contradict the footnote in FIN-1. Please clarify. Please also confirm that local taxes should be included in FIN-2 but should not be considered competitive elements.	Please see ITC Data Sheet 11.2 and SC 1.10 for taxes.
42.	TOR 1.1, page 64	Can the design basis report for Phase-1 Electrical & Mechanical and Signal & Telecommunication be provided prior to proposal submission? We believe efficient interfacing with Phase-1 will be critical to increase productivity, especially in railway systems.	No. However all efforts will be made for efficient interfacing with phase-1.
43.	TOR 1.2, page 64	Can the Feasibility study submitted by RITES be provided prior to proposal submission as reference?	No. relevant project details have already been provided in TOR.
44.	TOR 1.3, page 64	Can the Feasibility study carried out by JICA be provided prior to proposal submission as reference?	No. relevant project details have already been provided in TOR.

S. No.	Item	Clarification sought	DFCCIL Reply
45.	TOR 2.3, 3.11 B. V), 4.3 w., pages 72-75	Those clauses are contradictory with the TOR clause 3.13 that specifies that PMC shall establish requirements for T&C and overview the activities, and assist the contractors to conduct the tests and arrange the rectification by the contractors. Please confirm that PMC shall not perform any tests that remain under the responsibility of the D&B contractors, but assist the contractors during the tests and check the results.	Role of PMC is clearly defined in TOR clause 3.13 and no further clarification is required.
46.	TOR 3.11, page 74	The third paragraph says “Separate ZMTs will be deployed for the respective jurisdictions of Contract Package CT P-1, CT P-2 and CT P-3”. However, according to TOR 1.5, this PMC scope doesn’t include CT P-1, CT P-2 and CT P-3. Please clarify these contract numbers.	The same has been corrected. Please refer to Item No. 13 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.
47.	TOR 3.17, page 76	Kindly confirm the respective roles and responsibilities of both the Technical Assistance Consultants and the PMC regarding training services of DFCCIL/MOR personnel.	TOR 3.17 is clear and does not need any further clarification.
48.	TOR 4.1.2 (d), page 81	“Engineer” is not defined in the RFP. Please confirm that Engineer is referring to PMC.	Please refer to the first para of TOR 4.1 (page 77) which says, “PMC shall inter alia discharge the duties of Engineer as detailed in TOR under different phases of D&B contracts.....”. Please also refer to TOR 4.1.2(a) (page 81) which says, “The duties of the PMC during the construction period will be that of Engineer.....” TOR 8.1 (page 102) also clearly defines ‘Engineer’.

S. No.	Item	Clarification sought	DFCCIL Reply
49.	TOR 4.2.1, III, page 83	ELMD construction is 54 months and PMC for Maintenance support will be required for first 12 months only. However, the duration of PMC for P-7 will be 96 months. Please confirm these figures.	These figures are confirmed.
50.	TOR 4.2.1 V, page 83	This sub-provision says "Exact requirements cannot be anticipated". Is the cost related to visit outside India and Japan accounted for in the Contingency?	Yes.
51.	TOR 4.2.1 VI, page 83	Are these field offices dedicated to PMC?	As specified in clause 11.2.1 of section 5 page 106, MoR will provide available unencumbered land towards field office space requirement for the consultant at Chittaranjan, Kolkata (Dankuni) and Rewari.
52.	TOR 4.2.2, page 84-86	Tables on the 3 pages refer to some documents cannot be found on the DFCCIL website: "chapters 7, 8 and 14 of G.S. place in Volume II of bid documents"; "chapters 8, 10, 11 & 16 and 14 of G.S. place in Volume II of bid documents"; and "specification for transfer of technology (PS-6) which is place in volume-III". Necessary for additional info.	The referred documents are part of bid document for selection of contractor for package 7 (RS P-7).
53.	TOR 4.2.3 (xi), page 89	Annex 6 & 7 show interfaces between RS Contractor of RS P-7 contract and Contractors from Phase 1 of WDFC (EM P-4, ST P-5, etc.). As per Phase 2 of WDFC, RS P-7 will have interfaces with EM P-16 and ST P-17. Kindly clarify that, as PMC of RS P-7, we would be responsible for managing interfaces with Contractors that we are not monitoring otherwise (contractors from Phase1).	Yes, PMC for RS P-7 would also be responsible for managing interfaces related to RS-P7 contracts with Contractors of Phase 1.
54.	TOR 4.3 G, page 89	According to TOR 2.3, PMC is to assist providing the right to access to the site. Please clarify to which part in GC Clause 2.1 this refers.	The same has been corrected. Please refer to Item No. 14 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.

S. No.	Item	Clarification sought	DFCCIL Reply
55.	TOR 7, page 97	Is it possible to clarify at this stage how many and what kind of counterpart staff will be provided by the Client?	The information sought is not relevant.
56.	TOR 7.3, page 98-100	The positions for Pro-C category (supervisory/technical staff, non-technical staff) are not listed. Can we assume we are allowed to propose positions as judged appropriate to fulfill the minimum number of man-months specified?	Consultants have to suggest positions and man-months for various categories as per their methodology.
57.	TOR 7.4, page 101-102	Please clarify whether CVs need to be proposed for all categories in the proposal. For example, can we propose Pro-C staff CVs at a later stage?	Please refer to reply to query no. 2 above.
58.	TOR 7.4 Note, page 102	Please clarify that up to 60% of Pro-C staff are to be made up of fresh school graduates and at least 40% are to be staff with post-graduation working experience.	Please refer to Item No. 17 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.
59.	TOR 10.2, page 105	Please clarify (1) the costs incurred on inspection and testing to be reimbursed on actual basis relate to, and (2) the FAT support services to be quoted for this RFP.	The RFP clause is clear and no further clarification is required.
60.	TOR 11.1.3,4, page 106	We understand all Project offices will be provided by the Contractors, including stationery and office equipment. And the PMC will only maintain. Kindly clarify and forward a copy of Employer's requirement Vol. II - Appendix 9.	Yes. Please refer to reply to query no. 27 above. Copy of the required document may be collected from DFCCIL Office by an authorized representative of the Consultant.
61.	TOR 11.1.4, page 106	Please clarify the quantity of each item.	Please refer to reply to query no. 27 above.
62.	TOR 11.2, page 106	Please clarify the approximate area of land that will be provided for each site.	Please refer to reply to Query No. 28 above.

S. No.	Item	Clarification sought	DFCCIL Reply
63.	TOR 12.1 & 12.3, page 107	It is stated that the release of 80% of Performance Guarantee will be upon completion of Part A. Please clarify whether the completion of Part A excludes ST P-17 “Supply, Installation, Testing and Commissioning of TPWS ON Board Equipment and Cab Radio on Locomotives.”	No. STP-17 is included in Part –A
64.	SC 3.5.b, page 181	There is no SC 3.4 (a) (ii). Please confirm that this should be read as SC 3.4 (e) (ii).	The same has been corrected. Please refer to Item No. 18 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.
65.	SC 3.13., page 182	Please clarify how and at what timing the Client will release the performance guarantee to the Consultant and integrate it into the form of contract.	SC 3.13 is clear and does not any further clarifications. Please refer to TOR clause 12.3 (page 107) for release of PG.
66.	SC 6.4 (a), page 185	It is stated that the Bank Guarantee must be submitted within 30 days of the Effective Date. Is it possible to extend this period, as bank guarantees from Japanese banks take much time to obtain? Please also clarify how and at what timing the Client will pay the advance payment security to the Consultant and integrate it into the form of contract.	No change is required in the clause. The clause related to advance payment {GC & SC 6.4(a) } is quite clear and no further clarification is required.
67.	SC 8.2, page 186	We believe this clause should be replaced entirely by the arbitration provision of JICA Standard Request for Proposals under Japanese ODA Loans updated and issued October 2012, please confirm.	SC 8.2 has been revised. Please refer to Item No. 21 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.
68.	Section 7, page 199	Kindly confirm that: (i) credentials of mother companies of an Indian registered firm will be considered in the evaluation related to Consultant's experience; (ii) an Indian registered firm is eligible taking into account that the majority of the subscribed shares are held by foreign nationals.	i) No ii) No

S.No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
69.	89	5	4.3 H		The selection of key persons is a part of evaluation of D&B contract and PMC is not involved in evaluation. Therefore there is no role of PMC for selection and removal of key persons of D&B contract. Hence consent of PMC for the appointment of D&B contract key persons is not needed. This clause may be deleted.	The condition in RFP is clear and no further change is required. The same condition is operated in PMC for WDFC Ph-1 also.
70.	90	5	4. iii)	The prime responsibility of design lies with the D&B contractor. Therefore responsibility of uploading drawings shall rest with D&B contractor.	It is suggested to modify the clause to be read as "Contractor shall upload the drawings/designs after obtaining No Objection from PMC"	Not Agreed. No change is required in RFP.
71.	91	5	4. v)	The major assets will be created by D&B contractors and hence uploading this information on DFCCIL portal shall also rest with him.	It is suggested to modify the clause to be read as "Contractor shall upload the drawings/designs after obtaining No Objection from PMC"	Not Agreed. No change is required in RFP.
72.	91	5	4.3 O.	The prime responsibility of preparing design, specifications and also carrying out tests lie with D&B contractor.	It is suggested to modify this clause to read as "Upon completion of its assignment, the PMC shall check the completeness of all Drawings,	Not Agreed. No change is required in RFP. Transmission of information from contractor to DFCCIL is to be through PMC.

S.No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
				The PMC has to check for its completeness before the information is transmitted to DFCCIL electronically by D&B contractor.	Specification, other documents, results of tests and other relevant records, <i>after that D&B contractor shall</i> transmit the list electronically to DFCCIL/MOR. Concurrently, the <i>D&B contractor</i> shall furnish hard and soft copies of these listed documents to DFCCIL/MOR in an appropriate medium for safekeeping”.	
73.	91	5	4.3 Q.	The owner of installation is DFCCIL. Therefore in certain case there will be statutory requirements of owner to liaison with State Electricity Board. However PMC will assist DFCCIL for doing this task.	It is suggested to modify the line “PMC shall assist DFCCIL to have required liaison with State Electricity Board for modification of overhead power lines and with Railway S&T and other Railway/Central/State Government Departments for shifting/modification of underground wires, OFC cables, Pipes, tree cutting, etc., wherever required, is done by the Design Build works contractors as per provisions of the contracts”.	The condition in RFP is clear and no further change is required. The same condition is operated in PMC for WDFC Ph-1 also.
74.	92	5	4.3 CC.		It is suggested to modify “The PMC shall review comprehensive online asset management system which will be prepared by D&B	Not Agreed. No change is required in RFP.

S.No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
					contractor for all types of assets required for O&M.”	
75.	93	5	5. h)	The prime responsibility of correcting any errors lies with D&B contractor at his own cost. PMC will make effort to correct the errors through D&B contractor.	It is suggested to modify last three lines to read as “Acceptance of the work by DFCCIL/MOR shall not relieve the PMC of their professional obligation to get the work corrected through D&B contractor. In case, such things does not happen PMC will notify to D&B contractor with an advice to DFCCIL”.	Not Agreed. No change is required in RFP.
76.	95	5	6.3	In a construction project, daily reporting is not necessary. Only exceptional events such as accidents, Government interventions, major happening which may stall progress or work may be reported as and when happened.	It is suggested to modify this clause to read as “Exceptional events and unusual happenings shall be reported as and when occurred”.	The condition in RFP is clear and no further change is required. The same condition is operated in PMC for WDFC Ph-1 also.
77.	106	5	11.1.5 Sl No. 26		Kindly delete the words in 2 nd line “as mentioned in items 18 and 10 above”.	Please refer to reply to query no. 27 above.
78.	107	5	12.3	The prime responsibility for the preparation of as built drawings rest with	It is suggested to modify first three lines of this clause to read as “The consultancy shall be	Not agreed. PMC has to scrutinize as-built drawings submitted by the Contractor for

S.No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
				D&B contractor. The PMC shall review and check its completeness.	completed on successful commissioning of the projects and submission by the D&B contractor duly supported by completeness certification by PMC of all as-built drawings and other reports of the Project and the consultancy to the DFCCIL/MOR".	accuracy and completeness for onwards submission to DFCCIL/MOR. No change is required in RFP.
79.	128	5	5.3 (1)		As per this clause, all major equipment shall be type tested at recognized lab and routine tests shall be done at OEM premises. The type test consumes lot of resources and time. Therefore DFCCIL may kindly. (a) List out major equipment to be type tested. (b) Confirm that type tests would also be witnessed by Third Party agency as suggested for FAT in clause 10.2	Please see the 1 st paragraph of Annexure-3 (P-123) which says that extract of the relevant Employer's Requirement as stipulated in the Bid Document for D&B contracts has been provided in this Annexure. Details will be available in the respective D&B contracts and no change is required in RFP.
80.	105	5	10.2	Tender clause 10.2 states that the FAT shall be witnessed by Independent specialized inspecting agencies.	Both the clauses together create confusion. It is suggested to modify the clause 1.3 d. by stipulating that the Type tests and FAT shall be	Agreed. Please refer to Item No. 25 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.

S.No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
	135	5	1.3 d.	Tender clause 1.3 d. states that the type test and FAT of equipment shall be witnessed by employer based on test programme approved by Engineer.	witnessed by Independent specialized inspection agencies. Employer may also witness the test if needed. The test program shall be prepared by D&B contractor, reviewed by Engineer and accepted by DFCCIL.	
81.	137	5	1.7 b.	EMI tests will be carried out by D&B contractor and PMC will witness it.	It is suggested to modify this clause as "The measurement of EMI levels at locations to be specified by the Engineer. Such measurements shall be carried out <i>by contractor and witnessed by PMC</i> prior to energising of the Traction Power System, and then during Service Trials and commercial operation of the train services to ensure that EMI levels comply with the requirements of this specification"	Agreed. Please refer to Item No. 26 of Addendum-1 to the RFP document.
82.	145	5	Annex.-7		Kindly define name of contract of PACKAGES 1, 4, 5, P-6 and PACKAGE 7.	Package-1, 4, 5 and 7 as referred in Annexure-7 refer to contract package CT P-1, EM P-4, ST P-5 and RS P-7 respectively of WDFC.
83.	145	5	Annex.-7	Some of Non traction electrical equipment will	Kindly add interface table which will deal with interface issues of	Not Agreed. No change is required in RFP.

S.No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
				be controlled through OCC. The Non Traction electrical equipment will be installed by Civil Contractor. Therefore there will be extensive interface between Civil contractor (CTP-11, 12, 13, 14), Traction Contractor (EMP-4, EMP-16) and S&T Contractor (STP-17).	Non Traction SCADA between Civil Contractor (CTP-11, 12, 13, 14). Traction contractor (EMP-4, EMP-16) and S&T contractor (STP-17).	
84.	146	5	Annex.- 7 S. No. 7	Apparently interpretation of this clause is to control circuit breakers from OCC; which will be provided at interchange point.	It is suggested to modify last 2 lines of last column as "The circuit breakers <i>to be provided at interchange point</i> , shall be controllable by SCADA from OCC "	Not Agreed. No change is required in RFP.