

Dedicated Freight Corridor Corporation of India Limited
(A Government of India Enterprise)
Response to Queries from Prospective Applicants

Project Management Consultancy Services for

Construction of Double Line Electrified Railway Track with Signalling & Telecommunication system and related infrastructure for Rewai-Dadri and JNPT-Vadodara section (Approx 555 Route Kms) of Phase-2 of the Western Dedicated Freight Corridor and for Procurement of Electric Locomotives.

S.No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
85	81	5	4.1.2(c)	<p>Is it possible to revise 4.1.2 (c) to read as shown below? (<i>Revision in italics</i>)</p> <p><i>The PMC shall clearly specify in its proposal the system of test checks for RFIs at appropriate levels to be adopted by it. PMC shall certify Test Checks as agreed for every interim payment recommended by it. DFCCIL/MOR may separately undertake Quality Audit Inspections at periodic intervals or as required through their own personnel or through a third party. The PMC shall do repeat tests or measurements if directed by the DFCCIL in its presence or in the presence of any of its representatives.</i></p>	<p>The test check levels of 100%/20%/5% are not possible within the specified man-months in the RFP. While accepting full responsibility for ensuring overall quality, the PMC should be free to devise its own methodology for QC and to propose a commensurate organizational structure.</p>	<p>Not agreed. The provision in the clause is clear and needs no change. Clause 4.1.2(c) needs to be read with the previous clause 4.1.2(b) which says that RFI procedure shall be as agreed by PMC and DB Contractor.</p>
86	182	III SCC	4.2.1	<p>Is it possible to revise 4.2.1 to read as shown below? (<i>Revision in italics</i>)</p>	<p>1. It is not possible to prevent staff from resigning if they so</p>	<p>1. Not agreed. No change is required in the clause since</p>

S. No.	Relevant Part of RFP			Query	Comment/ Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
				Normally no changes shall be made in the Key Personnel. If, for any reason beyond the reasonable control of PMC (e.g. death or physical disability <i>or staff resignation</i>), it becomes necessary to replace any of the <i>Key Personnel</i> , the PMC shall forthwith provide a person of equivalent or better qualifications with prior approval of the Employer.	<p>desire, as we cannot legally bind an individual to stay if they do not wish to do so.</p> <p>2. It is clear that this clause refers to Key Personnel so the word <i>Key</i> should be added before the second use of the word Personnel.</p>	<p>frequent change of personnel will affect quality and progress of work.</p> <p>2. Not agreed. No change is required in the clause since Clause 4.2.1 refers to all Personnel and not only Key Personnel.</p>
87	182	III SCC	4.2.3	<p>Is it possible to revise 4.2.3 to read as shown below? (<i>Revision in italics</i>)</p> <p>If it becomes necessary to replace any of the Personnel for reasons other than those under 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 above, the PMC shall forthwith provide a person of equivalent or better qualifications with prior approval of the Employer, <i>unless it is for a non-evaluated position and in that case the educational qualifications and experience as stated the RFP are sufficient.</i></p>	<p>With the approval of client, replacements should also be allowable by other members of the consortium as this will add flexibility for obtaining the best possible replacement.</p>	<p>Agreed. Clause 4.2.3 has been revised accordingly. Please refer to Item No. 4 of Addendum-2 to RFP document.</p>

S.No.	Relevant Part of "Response to Queries"			Query	Comment/Suggestion of Consultant	DFCCIL Reply
	Page No.	Section	Clause No.			
88	3/25 (RFP p.74)	(RFP: 5)	(RFP: 3.11)		Concerning the concept of a Zonal Common Management Team (ZCMT), unlike Phase 1 where there are three contiguous packages (Package-1, 2 & 3) and where a ZCMT is obviously useful, in the case of Phase 2 the packages are not contiguous and therefore a ZCMT is seen as not particularly helpful. That is, as Package-14 of Phase 2 is located approx. 922km from the other 3 packages the application of a ZCMT is not useful.	Consultants have to propose their "Organization and Staffing" as part of their technical proposal under Form TECH-4 Chapter (c).
89	3/25 (RFP: p.83 and 107)	(RFP: 5)	(RFP: 4.2.1 III and 12.1)	In your reply you state that ELMD construction is 54 months and that ELMD maintenance is also 54 months. This totals 108 months and exceeds the project period of 96 months. Could you please confirm again the contents of your breakdown?		Please refer to Clause 4.2.1(III) (page-83) of the RFP document. The clause is self-explanatory and no further clarification is required.