## **Dedicated Freight Corridor Corporation of India Limited**

Queries response: Part 11

(A Government of India Enterprise)

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF SIGNAL AND TELECOM WORKS FOR DOUBLE LINE RAILWAY INVOLVING TRAIN DETECTION SYSTEM, TRAIN PROTECTION & WARNING SYSTEM, ELECTRONIC INTERLOCKING IN STATIONS, AUTOMATIC SIGNALLING IN BLOCK SECTIONS, INTERLOCKING OF LEVEL CROSSING GATES, DISPATCH TELEPHONE SYSTEM, FIBER OPTIC COMMUNICATION SYSTEM, GSM(R) SYSTEM, DIGITAL ELECTRONIC EXCHANGE SYSTEM, MASTER CLOCK SYSTEM AND VIDEO SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM FOR REWARI – MAKARPURA SECTION AND TRAIN MONITORING AND DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEM FOR DADRI – JNPT SECTION INCLUDING TESTING AND COMMISSIONING ON DESIGN-BUILD LUMP SUM PRICE BASIS OF WESTERN DEDICATED FREIGHTCORRIDOR

## SIGNALLING AND TELECOMMUNICATION WORKS CONTRACT (Rewari – Makarpura of Phase 1 and part of Phase 2)

## **CONTRACT PACKAGE ST P-5**

## **Queries from Bidders**

SI.	Vol.	Sectio	Page N	lo. Clause No.	Title	Questions	DFCCIL's Response
No.	No.	n No.					1) 1504 5500
644.	1	4		of 4.1 – 4.6	STEP Component	As per the tender conditions,	1) IRS/ RDSO Specs can
	P(1/2)		191			items amounting to 49.56% of	be purchased from
						the total contract price	RDSO.
						(excluding taxes & duties) are	2) Specs of Signalling items
						required to be procured from	proposed to be supplied
						Japan. It has also been clarified	under STEP shall be
						that the STEP ratio needs to be	critically examined as
						calculated based on CIF value	per relevant Cross
						of the imported items.	Acceptance policy
						In this regard, we wish to bring	
						to your notice that based on our	taken as bench mark so
						current assessment, even after	that no adverse safety or
						considering all the items listed	performance implications
						under the STEP category in the	are found.
						tender document, the STEP	3) Additional items to be
						ratio is falling short of the	purchased as STEP
						mandated 49.56%. In this	components are to be
						scenario, the bidders have to	proposed by the Bidder
						consider sourcing additional	
						items from Japan in order to	4 (Page 137) of Vol. I

				<del>,</del>			
SI.	Vol.	Sectio	Page No.	Clause No.	Title	Questions	DFCCIL's Response
No.	No.	n No.					(5 ( ) ( ) ( )
						meet the required STEP ratio.	(Part ½) of Bid
						Consequently, in order to meet	documents.
						the STEP criteria, we have been	*
						trying to obtain quotations from Japanese manufacturers for	inclusion of Telecom
						Japanese manufacturers for additional items such as cables,	items for supply as additional STEP items.
						· ·	However, these shall
						point machines, LEDs, etc. In this regard, we would like to	comply with the
						apprise you of the following	Employer's
						developments:	_ ` . ` .
						Japanese vendors have	Requirements as mentioned in Vol. II &
						informed us that they are not	Vol. III Part 2 of Bid
						aware of the IRS / RDSO specs.	documents. Telecom
						Hence, they are not in a position	items do not require
						to provide us quotation based on	approval under Cross
						these specs. Their quotations	Acceptance.
						are based on Japanese	
						standards.	Signalling equipment
						2. Furthermore, they find the	shall require Cross
						cross acceptance criteria to be	Acceptance or not, shall
						cumbersome, stringent and very	be clarified on item by
						lengthy. Hence, they are not	item basis. Items
						willing to support us for this	mentioned in the Query
						project.	shall be dealt with as
						3. Owing to point no. 1 and 2	under:
						above, the Japanese vendors	a) LED signals &
						are either not providing quotes	Point machines
						or are providing uncompetitive	shall require
						prices.	DFCCIL's Cross
						Due to the above scenario,	Acceptance
						1) It is becoming very difficult for	approval.
						us to identify the additional items	b) Signalling cables,
						with the help of which we can	if procured as a
						meet the required STEP	STEP component
						conditions.	shall be accepted
						2) Such complexities may lead	if proven as per
						to speculation with different	Cl. 9.5(3) of Vol. III
						bidders adopting different	Part 1 and still in

SI. No.	Vol. No.	Sectio n No.	Page No.	Clause No.	Title	Questions	DFCCIL's Response
						strategies to ascertain STEP ratio. It may be appreciated that with additional Japanese components considered, the bid price becomes higher as the prices of equipment imported from Japan are much higher than the corresponding indigenous products. Any differences in consideration of Japanese components by the bidders shall lead to unequal competition.  To resolve the above issue, we request you to make the following changes to the STEP criteria of this tender.  1. Please suggest the additional equipments which may be sourced from Japan including the telecom subsystems.  2. Please mandate that if signaling equipments such as point machines, signaling cables, etc. are procured under STEP then the vendors will only have to demonstrate 3 years of provenness for their equipment and their compliance to Japanese standards will be	same broadly meeting RDSO Spec. In addition, type test Cl. 9.6 of Vol. III Part 1 of Bid documents shall be complied. Also refer para 2 above.  6) As per provisions in Section 4 of Vol. I (Part ½) of Bid documents, there are three options viz. 3(a), 5 & 6 for the

acceptable.

SI.	Vol.	Sectio	Page No.	Clause No.	Title	Questions	DFCCIL's Response
No.	No.	n No.					
645.	3 (1/2)	9	3 of 87	1.3.10	Cross acceptance of Signalling Equipment	The STEP Component Suppliers are required to do cross-acceptance of their products by following the RDSO procedure. In view of the critical timelines of the project, we are extremely concerned that the STEP Component suppliers namely Kyosan Electric and Nippon Signal do not have the approval before bid submission which will affect the project deliveries making us liable for penalties. Reportedly the current approval status of the two manufacturers is as under:  I. Kyosan Electric:	Please refer item No. 504, 506, 507, 511, 512 & 513 of Addm-12 for revised requirements/policy for approvals.
						Kyosan Electric has obtained provisional acceptance for supply of 10 Electronic Interlocking (EI) systems for field trial. They are required to demonstrate satisfactory working for 50 equipment months with supply of 10 EI. At present only 2 EI are installed in IR network. Assuming that the WDFC ST P-5 project will be awarded by this year end, it seems unlikely that Kyosan Electric will be able to obtain regular approval in time to manufacture and supply 11 EI systems for the Rewari – Dabla section which is to be commissioned in the first 22	

SI.	Vol.	Sectio	Page No.	Clause No.	Title	Questions	DFCCIL's Response
No.	No.	n No.					
						months of the project.	
						II. Nippon Signal:	
						Nippon Signal had already	
						submitted their proposal to	
						RDSO for cross-acceptance	
						more than one year back.	
						Subsequently, RDSO has	
						issued a letter in Nov. 2013	
						to Nippon Signal stating that	
						it cannot proceed with the	
						cross-acceptance approval due to various reasons.	
						Thus, we do not have a	
						clarity on the way forward for	
						Nippon Signal's approval.	
						Our Suggestion:	
						We request that DFCCIL awards	
						a Project Specific Approval to	
						Nippon Signal and Kyosan	
						Electric. We understand that in	
						July, 2010, Ministry of Railways	
						has issued a letter to DFCCIL to	
						induct new technology and	
						under the mandate of this letter,	
						DFCCIL is empowered to award	
						a Project Specific Approval.	
						We once again highlight that the project deliveries are extremely	
						tight and thus we would want a	
						clear-cut cross-acceptance	
						approval of Nippon Signal and	
						Kyosan Electric for their	
						respective product before bid	
						submission.	

SI.	Vol.	Sectio	Page No.	Clause No.	Title	Questions	DFCCIL's Response
No.	No.	n No.					
652.	III part-1	9	49	9.5	Sources of equipment supply	We refer to item no. 576 of replies to queries part-8 wherein it is mentioned that cross acceptance approval is to be obtained before manufacture and supply.  Condition of starting manufacturing and supply after cross acceptance would affect the completion period of project and restrict the competition among Japanese suppliers willing to offer their product for this project.  In order to avoid delay in project schedule, we request you to kindly make the requirement of completing cross acceptance procedure before commissioning.	In view of DFCCIL's Cross Acceptance policy and other related Addendum items as referred in response to Query No. 645, it is considered possible to have necessary approvals and meet project timelines.
657.	Vol-III, Part - 1	9	51 of 87	9.5 (ii)	Sources of equipment supply	In order to meet the STEP criteria, we have been trying to obtain quotations from Japanese manufacturers for additional items such as cables, point machines, LEDs, etc. In this regard, we would like to apprise you of the fact that the Japanese Cable manufacturers are not complying to IRS/RDSO standards as they manufacture cables based on Japanese standards. They are taking some deviations in the RDSO standards. Please find enclosed the annexures (1&2) detailing the comparison of specifications of Japanese vendors with RDSO specifications for your reference.	Refer response to query No. 644.

SI. No.	Vol. No.	Sectio n No.	Page No.	Clause No.	Title	Questions	DFCCIL's Response
663.	Vol.III (1/2)	9	38	6.3.1.1 (5)	Direct Mode Comm for Mobile	In this regard, we request DFCCIL to give us a confirmation that the cable sourced from Japan in order to meet STEP criteria shall be acceptable to DFCCIL if they comply to Japanese standards with relevant user acceptance / proneness certificate and need not be cross accepted by RDSO.  Please confirm that all these three (3) clauses shall be	It is confirmed that clauses 6.3.1.1(5),
	Vol.III (2/2)	9	43 45	6.3.9.6.2 (l) 6.3.9.10 (b)	Terminals  Direct Mode Comm for Mobile Terminals  Direct Mode Comm	replaced with "Not Used", referring to S. No. 441 and 444 of Addendum 9.	6.3.9.6.2(I) and 6.3.9.10(b) are replaced with "Not used." Refer to item 499, 500 and 501 of Addendum 12.
664.	(2/2) Vol. V	11	V-4-2-10		for Mobile Terminals  Building Plan - Integrated Maintenance Depot (IMD)	The drawing indicates "OFC Equipment & Telephone Exchange Room"  Please confirm that the drawing is only reference and a dedicated EPABX will not be required in IMD according	Vol. V contains "Reference Drawings." Dedicated EPABX in IMD is not required per Employer's Requirements Vol. III Part 2.
						to Telephone / EPABX schedule in Appendix 1 of Vol. III Part (2/2) and EPABX block diagram V-7-1-10.	