

Dedicated Freight Corridor Corporation of India Limited

(A Government of India Enterprise)

DESIGN, SUPPLY, CONSTRUCTION, INSTALLATION OF 2X25 KV ELECTRIFICATION, SIGNALLING AND TELECOMMUNICATION AND ASSOCIATED WORKS INCLUDING TESTING AND COMMISSIONING FOR DOUBLE TRACK ELECTRIFIED RAILWAY LINE ON DESIGN BUILD LUMP SUM BASIS FROM MUGHALSARAI TO NEW SONNAGAR AND CHIRAILAPATHU STATION (EXCLUDING NEW KARWANDIYA – NEW DURGAUTI SECTION) OF EARTERN DEDICTED FREIGHT CORRIDOR.

IFP No.: HQ/SYS/EC/D-B/MGS-DGO & KWDH-CPBH-SEBN Dated 29.06.2017.

RESPONSE TO PRE-SUBMISSION QUERIES OF THE APPLICANTS

SN	Reference to PQ Document	Clarification sought by the Applicant	DFCC'S Response
1.	Part 1, Section III, Clause No. 1.0 (6) 3 rd Bullet Pg 22 of 58	<p>Globally, in majority of the countries all the railway works are constructed and maintained by Private companies. Siemens being a global player, we executed contracts for both Govt. Organizations /metros/ private entities.</p> <p>In view of the above, it is requested to modify the clause as under.</p> <p>“Completion certificate from Govt. Organization/ PSUs/ Public Limited Company(s)/ Railway Systems / Metro Railway Systems/ Private Entities will be accepted.”</p>	Not agreed. The conditions given in PQ document are sufficiently clear and shall prevail.
2.	Part 1, Section III, Clause No.3.1 (a) Pg 24 of 58	<p>Considering the limited projects implemented globally during the last 5 years it is requested to modify the clause as under The applicant/JV Firm or lead member of the JV firm must have satisfactorily completed in the last Ten previous financial years and the current financial year up to the date of submission of application, at least one single work for a minimum value of Rs. 131 (One Hundred Thirty One) Cr. And involving all components mentioned below.</p> <p>The applicant/JV firm or any member of the JV firm must have satisfactorily completed the following works in the last TEN previous financial years and the current financial year up to the date of submission of application.</p>	Please refer Addendum No.1 dated 04.08.2017.

SN	Reference to PQ Document	Clarification sought by the Applicant	DFCC'S Response
3.	Part 1, Section III, Clause No.3.1 (a) Pg 24 of 58	<p>Drawing out credentials from Parent Companies and its ancillaries are permitted in Metro / CORE (EPC) Tenders in India.</p> <p>In view of the above for the purpose of technical experience referred to in Sub Clause no: 3. It is requested to consider the experience of Associate / Affiliate firm of the Bidder (or Consortium Member).</p> <p>“Associate” or “Affiliate” means, in relation to Bidder {and/or Consortium Members}, a person who controls, is controlled by, or is under the common control with such Bidder {or Consortium Member} (as used in this definition, the expression “control” means, with respect to a person which is a company or corporation, the ownership, directly or indirectly, of more than 50% (fifty per cent) of the voting shares of such person);</p>	Not agreed. The conditions given in PQ document shall prevail.
4	Part 1, Section I, Clause No. 10.1 Page 8 of 58	<p>Request to amend the clause as below ,</p> <p>provided by the applicant from The following para should be inserted at the end of the sub-clause 10.1 “However, documents the countries that have signed the Hague Legislation Convention 1961 are not required to be legalized by the Indian Embassy , if they carry a conforming apostle certificate.”</p> <p>During the PQ for this section in the last time as well, the above amendment was made vide addendum no.3 dated 05.04.2016</p>	Please refer Addendum No.1 dated 04.08.2017.